UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/364,375	07/30/1999	RONEN CHAYAT	ITL.0151US (P6593)	9363
21906 TROP, PRUNE	7590 05/26/201 ¹ R & HU, P.C .	EXAMINER		
1616 S. VOSS I	ROAD, SUITE 750	AUGUSTIN, EVENS J		
HOUSTON, TX 77057-2631			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/26/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/364,375	CHAYAT, RONEN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	EVENS J. AUGUSTIN	3621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply but will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters,		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6-15,17-26 and 28-30 is/are pe 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withden 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6-15,17-26 and 28-30 is/are rej 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applic riority documents have been rece eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/364,375 Page 2

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

1. Request for Continued Examination under 37 CFR1.114, filed on 002/10/10, has been acknowledged. 1-4, 6-15, 17-26 and 28-30. The USPTO has considered applicant's amendments/remarks, however, the prior art from the previous office action is maintained because of any patentable distinction that may exist between and current and previous claim language is still unpatentable over the prior art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 6-15, 17-26 and 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cidon et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,343,473 in view of Taniguchi, U.S. Patent No. 6,222,841.
 - A. As per claims 1-4, 6-15, 17-26 and 28-30, Cidon et al. teach receiving packets of at least two types, determining which type of packet takes more time to process, identifying a packet of lower priority (e.g. a first type) that takes more time to process, identifying a packet of a higher priority (e.g. second type) that takes of the higher priority (e.g. less time to process) and,

Application/Control Number: 09/364,375 Page 3

Art Unit: 3621

B. Transmitting packets of the lower priority (e.g. second type) before packets of the first type (column 1, lines 50-53; column 4, lines 5-30; column 8, lines 13-16).

- C. Cidon et al. also teach FIFO (column 1, lines 61-65), monitoring a queue in order to fetch one type of packet over another (column 1, lines 50-53; column 8, lines 13-16). Regarding linking packets (e.g. claim 7) it is inherent to packet switching that data to be transmitted from a sending node over a network is broken up into packets, the
- D. Packets are routed along different paths on the network, and reassembled at receiving node. In order to be reassembled, the packets are necessarily linked.
- 4. Cidon et al. do not explicitly recite how high priority is assigned. Taniguchi teaches a method for transmitting data packets across a network (abstract), based on a priority (figure 14; column/line 16/19-17/17; column/line 22/18-23/6) such as processing time (e.g. packet size, number of transmitted bytes) (column/line 18/62-19/8) or other user defined parameter (column 4, lines 3-10; column 16, lines 18-33; column 18, lines 14-32).
- 5. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to combine the teachings of Cidon et al. and Taniguchi in order more effectively distribute audio and video data over a packet switching network ('841, column 7, lines 5-15).

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Evens Augustin whose telephone number is 571-272-6860. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 8 to 5 pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/364,375 Page 4

Art Unit: 3621

7. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Andrew Fischer can be reached on 571-272-6779.

/Evens J. Augustin/ Evens J. Augustin May 26, 2010 Art Unit 3621